



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/828,530

04/06/2004

Laszlo J. Kecskes

ARL 03-60

4322

21364 7590 11/09/2007  
U S ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY  
ATTN AMSRL CS CC IP  
2800 POWDER MILL RD  
ADELPHI, MD 207831197

EXAMINER

WYSZOMIERSKI, GEORGE P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1793

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/09/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/828,530

Applicant(s)

KECSKES ET AL.

Examiner

George P. Wyszomierski

Art Unit

1793

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) George P. Wyszomierski, examiner.

(3) David Spevack, attorney for Applicant.

(2) Laszlo Kecskes, inventor.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gu.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

GEORGE P. WYSZOMIERSKI  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 1700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1) Applicant will clarify the language of claims 34-39 to overcome rejection under 35 USC 112, e.g. by incorporating limitations of claim 35 into claim 34, and/or clarifying what elements are present in inventive alloys and what element(s) are in eutectic combination with what other element(s).

2) Applicant will attempt to overcome Gu reference, e.g. by indicating that inventive alloys are directed largely to Hf containing materials that do not require presence of Zr, and contrast claimed material to that disclosed by Gu.

3) It was noted that filing date of present application is prior to that of application 10/946,132, which contains conflicting claims..